

Pier 40 Seismic Engineering Study RFP
Project/Contract No. A5101
Questions & Answers
August 27, 2019

1. Q: Will a list of firms requesting RFP's be published so that Minority/Women Owned Sub-Contractors like ourselves can approach prime firms for potential teaming?

A: Please see attached list.

2. Q: Would consultants and team members who participate in this study be precluded from participating in the future development/design work of Pier 40?

A: We encourage all interested consultants to submit a proposal for this RFP. Whether a consultant or team member is precluded from participating in future phases will be dependent on the scope of future solicitations and can only be determined at that time.

3. Q: Are there any environmental/hazardous materials scope items for inspections, testing, monitoring, etc.?

A: There is no hazardous materials scope included in this engineering study.

4. Q: Will previous geotechnical reports be available for review?

A: Yes. Geotechnical reports will be made available to the successful respondent.

5. Q: What is "... the existing system of lateral support ... "?

A: Please refer to attachment G page 8.

6. Q: What are the "Strategies for retrofitting...to address seismic requirements..." [and] Will these concepts be shared with proposers?

A: Please see RFP Attachments G, H and I.

7. Q: Will the seismic requirements be provided or does the successful proposer need to develop them?

A: The seismic requirements are as established in the current NYC building code. The consultant will be responsible for determining all loads on the structure.

8. Q: On page 2 of Appendix B you note the consultant team should include Building code and Cost estimator sub-consultants, as directed by HRPT (Allowance). Do you want us to recommend consultants for these roles, or do you already have firms in mind? Also can these allowances be counted towards the contract's MWBE and SDVOB percentages?

A: Proposers should assume that the MWBE and SDVOB goals need to be met through the team that they are proposing exclusive of allowances. Proposers may submit recommendations for cost estimating and code consulting consultants, but are not required to do so.

9. Q: Is it acceptable for a firm to prime on one response and to be a sub-consultant on others?

A: Yes.

A5101 - List of Firms That Requested the RFP

Firm Name
Schnabel Engineering
Giants Engineering LLC
Mueser Rutledge Consulting Engineers
Langan Engineering
MP Engineers and Architects, P.C.
LERA
Hatch Associates Consultants, LLC
Arup
KPFF Consulting Engineers
Thornton Tomasetti
JMT of New York, Inc.
H2M Architects & Engineers
Xu Consulting Engineerign P.C.
KS Engineers
Clyde Porter, Jr. Consulting Engineers
IH Engineers, P.C.
NV5 New York - Engineers, Architects, Landscape Architects and Surveyors
Cowi
Shumaker Consulting Engineering and Land Surveying, D.P.C.
STV Inc.
GeoDesign, Inc.
Prime Vendor Inc
STV Inc.
Atlantic Testing Laboratories
Cameron Engineering
French & Parerello Associates (FPA)
MFS Consulting Engineers & Surveyor, DPC
Jensen Hughes
Active Design Group Engineering DPC
Naik Consulting Group, PC
Jacobs Engineering Group
Silman
SJH Engineering, P.C.
Huq Engineering Consultancy, PLLC
Field Associates, PC
Gedeon GRC
Lynn Fritzlen Architect
EnTech Engineering, PC
Yoel and Joseph Engineering Consultants
Sharish Shuvo Engineering P.C.
DeSimone Consulting Engineering Group LLC
JCMS, Inc
Gilsanz Murray Steficek LLP
Hirani Engineering & Land Surveying, P.C.
Talevi and Haesch, LLC
Ysrael A. Seinuk
PAR Engineering PLLC
EDG